



ODP LMS White Paper

Considerations for Acquiring a Learning Management System (LMS)

I. Introduction

Broadly defined, a Learning Management System (“LMS”) facilitates the delivery of technology-enabled training. Within this broad spectrum of functionality, individual LMS products have different strengths, weaknesses, architectures, supported computing platforms, licensing approaches, and levels of standards conformance. Depending on whether your organization is interested in content delivery, competency management, content authoring, traditional classroom, or “fee for service” requirements; the field of potential LMS and Learning Content Management Systems (LCMS) products can vary widely.

The purpose of this document is to outline the characteristics of various Blended Learning delivery products (e.g., LMS and LCMS), present some best practices in selecting an LMS, offer a set of “self-evaluation” questions to help interested organizations focus on products that best fit their particular needs, and highlight suggested “next steps” in acquiring a new system.

II. Blended Learning Delivery: LMS, LCMS, and Learning Portals

Modern content delivery systems are normally Web-based, Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) systems that can be characterized into two general classes: 1) LMS software that automates the administration of learning events; and 2) LCMS software that enables authors to register, store, assemble, manage, and publish learning content for delivery via Web, print, or CD.¹ Both product classes have become mainstream over the past five years, with many enterprise-class products blurring the line between the two.² Put simply, students and courseware authors interact with an LMS (e.g., select a WBT course and register), while content owners and business process owners interact with an LCMS (e.g., to develop new content or reuse existing content, and to refine, automate, and enforce business processes). Some products, however, provide a mix of these functionalities.

While LMS and LCMS products have different strengths and weaknesses, they generally address the following areas of functionality:

LMS Functionality

- Student Registration and Administration
- Training Event Management (i.e., scheduling, tracking, and WBT delivery)
- Curriculum and Certification Management
- Skills and Competencies Management
- Reporting

¹ “Making Sense of Learning Specifications & Standards: A Decision Maker’s Guide to their Adoption,” MASIE Center Learning Consortium Industry Report (March 8, 2002). See <http://www.masie.com> for more information.

² See <http://www.brandon-hall.com> for extensive research regarding current LMS and LCMS products.

- Training Record Management
- Courseware Authoring

LCMS Functionality

- Template-driven, Collaborative Content Development
- Facilitated Content Management (i.e., indexing and reuse)
- Publishing
- Workflow Integration
- Automated Interface with an LMS

In addition to LMS and LCMS products, many organizations employ a “learning portal” or single Web entry into their learning content and resources. While this gateway may redirect users to a number of different physical locations, it presents a single, consolidated interface and starting point for users interested in Blended Learning. The portal becomes the “presentation layer” that links the various resources and systems (e.g., the LMS and LCMS) to the user. ODP has envisioned a Learning Portal to provide this integration with its selected LMS.

Although there is a growing number of products and vendors, past experience and favorable reviews in trade journals (e.g., Brandon-Hall and Gartner Group), have shown several COTS products and vendors to be successful in the federal government (in no particular order):

- Docent Enterprise 5.1 (www.docent.com)
- Plateau 4.1 (www.plateau.com)
- TKM 3.5 (Generation21) (www.gen21.com)
- Oracle iLearning 4.1 (www.oracle.com)
- Meridian KSI Knowledge Centre (www.meridianksi.com)
- Nebo 1.1 (Learnframe) (www.learnframe.com)
- Aspen Learning Management Server (www.click2learn.com)
- KnowledgePlanet Learning 6.0 (www.knowledgeplanet.com)

III. Best Practices for Selecting an LMS

- **LMS Technical Environment:** It is important to work with your organization’s technical staff to understand the supporting architecture (e.g., networking, hardware, and software platform), security and privacy requirements, and legacy information systems.
- **Formal Requirements:** Consider taking the time to create a formal requirements statement, including a prioritized list of “must have” and “nice to have” items. Some leading high-level LMS requirements include the following:
 - Web-based Training (WBT) registration, delivery, and tracking (including bookmarking)
 - WBT and test authoring capability
 - Online testing/certification and accreditation management
 - Online evaluation
 - Online collaboration and communication
 - Interfaces with HR and legacy system
 - Support mandatory and “on the job” training
 - Support for multiple user classes

- Support for “wait listing”
 - Support for multiple time zones
 - Support for handheld devices (e.g., Palm and Handspring devices)
 - Skill and competency management
 - Reporting
 - Customization
 - Financial tracking
- **Government Regulation:** Check the security, privacy, and accessibility regulations regarding content and electronic delivery systems within your organization. These systems may be considered a “system of records” as defined by the Privacy Act, and may require a formal System Security Plan (SSP).³ Similar to WBT content, an LMS is subject to the accessibility requirements of the Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 794(d)) and the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board Electronic and Information Technology (EIT) Accessibility Standards (36 CFR Part 1194), informally known as Section 508. For this reason, government entities should ensure that their selected LMS has been thoroughly tested for Section 508 conformance.
 - **Interoperability Standards & Technologies:** Check to see whether your selected LMS supports content interoperability standards such as the Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) and the Aviation Industry CBT Committee (AICC). Developed and sponsored by the Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) initiative, SCORM is a set of interrelated technical specifications to ensure accessibility, interoperability, durability and reusability of Web-based learning content and systems. Underlying technologies that support interoperability (e.g., XML, SOAP, .NET, and J2EE) should also be preferred. In addition, check additional accessibility or Web standards resident to your organization. Compliance with these standards is critical to enabling organizations to acquire and incorporate content from different sources (e.g., other government agencies and commercial vendors).
 - **Relational Database Management Systems (RDBMS):** Require compatibility with industry standard relational database management systems (such as Oracle, Microsoft SQL Server, and IBM DB2), and access to the data stored in the underlying relational tables. This assists with system integration and customization, the preparation of ad-hoc reports, and the ability to perform data analysis. Note: Some commercial LMS vendors consider their database schema proprietary information.
 - **Data Field Customization:** Require that the LMS support a large number (e.g., 100 or more) user-defined data fields. This provides flexibility as the system grows. Some providers (such as Plateau) offer unlimited user-defined fields.
 - **Web-based Administration:** For ease of administration, check to see whether the LMS requires a “thick client” for administration. Some COTS LMS vendors have not fully transitioned their products to the Web environment. Leading LMS products no longer require such a client/server module and can be administered completely from a standard Web browser (e.g., Microsoft Internet Explorer 5.x and Netscape 4.x).

³ For more information, please see the Government Information Security Reform Act (GISRA), OMB-A-130, and the Computer Security Act.

- **Blended Learning Support:** Require that the LMS provide the means to distribute training content in a variety of modalities (e.g., print-based materials, Web content, performance support, CD-ROM, support materials for classroom training, etc.).

Additional Considerations

- **ASP/Host:** It is important to note that many LMS products are offered as either an installed or hosted solution. Although the complexity of a customized and highly-integrated solution leans towards an installed approach, a hosted approach offers a variety of benefits:
 - ⇒ Dramatically reduces infrastructure and support requirements
 - ⇒ Reduces implementation time
 - ⇒ Provides immediate scalability, redundancy, and bandwidth options
 - ⇒ Shifts considerable amount of security and privacy burden to a third party.
- **Phased Approach:** Depending on the level of internal support, a hosted or outsourced option may help mitigate potential implementation risks. Some phased combination of the two approaches may be possible. Some limited scope “pilot” initiatives might also help to mitigate implementation risks.
- **Past experience:** When selecting an LMS product and vendor, check whether they have experience working with the Federal government. Some vendors find it difficult to make the transition to working with the government and may cause significant project impacts.

IV. LMS Self-Evaluation Questions

The following questions are provided to help an organization focus on the critical considerations when selecting an LMS:

A. Overview

1. What is your organization's primary purpose in acquiring a new LMS?
2. Will the envisioned LMS be replacing or augmenting existing learning management systems? If so, please describe.
3. How many learners will be supported by the envisioned LMS?
4. How many training coordinators (if any) will be supported by the LMS?
5. Are the learners predominantly internal to your organization, external, or some combination of the two?
6. Will the LMS support a "fee for service" delivery model?
7. Is courseware portability and interoperability important to your organization? If so, will AICC or SCORM compliance be required of the LMS?
8. Will you need to support distributed content repositories?

B. Learning Management Elements

9. Which methods and modes of instruction will be managed by the envisioned LMS: Classroom delivery, paper-based correspondence, audioconference, videoconference, Web, CD-ROM, DVD, satellite, or hybrid delivery (or some combination of the above)?
10. Will the LMS be required to manage and maintain specific curriculums?
11. How many courses will be available via the LMS?
12. Will the LMS be required to manage and track skills and competencies within the organization?
13. Will the LMS be used to facilitate performance reviews or the creation of individual development plans (IDP)?
14. Will the LMS be required to facilitate the internal development of learning content?
15. Do you envision a significant amount of customization being required for the LMS to be accepted in your organization?

C. Connectivity

16. What is the circuit type (e.g., ISDN or T1) and bandwidth (e.g., 768 kbps) of your organization's Internet and Wide Area Network (WAN) connections?
17. What is the speed and configuration of your organization's Local Area Networks (e.g., switched 100BaseT Ethernet)?
18. Will the envisioned LMS be required to interface or exchange data with HR, ERP, or other information systems within your organization?

D. Workstation Configuration

19. What are the hardware configurations of the learner's computer workstations?
20. What operating systems are used on the learner's computer workstations?
21. What Web browsers are used on learner's computer workstation?
22. Will Web browser plug-ins (e.g., Adobe Acrobat and Flash Player) be permitted on the learner's computer workstation?

E. Server Configurations

23. What is the hardware configuration of the intended Web server platform?
24. What is the operating system of the intended Web server platform?

25. What Web server application will be used (e.g., Microsoft IIS or Apache)?
26. Does the organization have a Relational Database Management System (RDBMS)? If so, what package (e.g., Microsoft SQL Server or Oracle)?

F. Security and other Technical Constraints

27. What are the security considerations regarding Web-based applications in the target environment (e.g., SSL support or prohibition on client-side cookies)?
28. Will Section 508 usability requirements be applied to the envisioned LMS?

V. Interpreting the Self-Evaluation

Organizations often have a variety of motivations for acquiring an LMS:

- Reduce cost
- Increase training accessibility
- Provide performance support
- Provide consistent and reusable content
- Provide automated tracking and reporting
- Some combination of the above

Large and more comprehensive enterprise solutions address many of these elements, but introduce greater technical complexity and significantly higher costs.⁴ Prioritizing a few elements helps reduce cost, technical complexity, and implementation time. Organizations with only a single primary requirement (e.g., delivering Web-based courses), can often find a lower cost solution in either a smaller COTS package or a single module from a larger package. More complex elements can then be addressed later as the need develops.

The number and type of functional requirements identified in A and B of Section IV are intended to help organizations define the scope of their envisioned LMS or LCMS. As mentioned earlier, these functional requirements should be captured in a formal document to help measure progress as the effort moves forward. As highlighted in Section III (page 2), open standards for interoperability and accessibility should be considered high priority requirements under any circumstances.

In addition to functionality, the size of the intended target audience is important since it impacts the necessary networking, data management, and content management infrastructure. When examining the target audience, it is also important to consider the following:

- Geographic locations of the audience
- Whether the audience is internal or external your organization
- Estimated concurrent usage

These parameters are critical to sizing the hardware and connectivity needs of the system. Some COTS products are better suited for large, distributed populations than others. The assistance of an organization's IT staff is often important in developing these estimates. A related and critical consideration is protecting any proprietary or sensitive content and personnel information. Adding these requirements always increases the technical complexity and cost of

⁴ See the list of suggested products on page 2.

the project. Again, if these requirements cannot be avoided, the organization is probably justified in pursuing a more comprehensive, enterprise-class system.

The assessment questions presented in C through F of Section IV all address aspects of an organization's technical infrastructure. Unfortunately, these questions can be rather technical, but are crucial to a successful implementation. The limitations, architectural decisions, and future plans of an organization's IT staff may significantly impact the ability to support a specific COTS LMS or LCMS product. If an organization is unsure about any of these answers, it is a clear indication that additional IT involvement is necessary. Again, this is intended to stress the importance of understanding an organization's technical infrastructure.

VI. Next Steps

In summary, organizations interested in acquiring an LMS or LCMS can use the following steps to guide them through the acquisition process:

1	Identify an internal "champion" for the LMS/LCMS effort – an individual responsible for advocating and leading the acquisition and implementation process.
2	Identify interested "stakeholders" of the proposed system and illicit comments and requirements from this group. Such stakeholder communities normally include groups such as instructors, training coordinators, training developers, students, and management.
3	Clearly document organizational requirements and expectations of the new LMS/LCMS, and prioritize these elements into "must have" and "nice to have" features.
4	From a business perspective, determine the budget and implementation time frame for the new LMS/LCMS. ⁵ LMS/LCMS products range widely in price from roughly \$50,000 to \$2,000,000 for initial implementation and licensing, with an additional 10% per year for ongoing support and maintenance. Depending upon the product and the size of the project, implementation time frames range from several weeks to several months. During this time, internal staff will be necessary to map business processes, identify user permissions, test, and participate in other configuration tasks. Finally, consider the internal labor that will be necessary to administer the system – anywhere from .5 to roughly 8 full-time equivalents (FTE) annually depending on the size of the project.
5	Work with representative(s) from the IT organization to identify computing platform considerations (e.g., available processing power, supported server and database software, etc.), available connectivity, and all applicable security, accessibility, and privacy requirements.
6	Depending on the results of 1-3 above, the requirements, time frame, and scale of the acquisition may need to be revised. In most instances, this will cause the organization to focus on a smaller, phased implementation that focuses on the truly critical items. For example, the organization may choose to focus solely on WBT delivery and development, and shift competency management and multi-rater assessment to a later time. These revised criteria should be documented and used to develop a "Statement of Work" (SOW) or "Request for Proposal" (RFP) outlining the acquisition requirements. The considerations and "best practices" listed earlier should be used as a basis for

⁵ Fo7r most government organizations, depending on the size of the procurement, a business justification (i.e., 8a "Cost/Benefit" or "Return on Investment" analysis) is necessary before proceeding further.

	developing the SOW/RFP.
7	The SOW/RFP will be used to solicit bids both from product vendors and integrators to address organizational needs. This competitive process will allow the organization to consider various solutions, and may include both COTS and custom products, along with installed or hosted (i.e., ASP) solutions.
8	Use the requirements of the SOW/RFP to score each proposed solution (e.g., based on some combination of completeness, technical accuracy, and cost), and select the best approach. In addition to the products suggested earlier (see page 2), new and alternate solutions may be available that better fit the organization's specific functional, technical, and budgetary requirements.
9	Work collaboratively with the selected bidder to develop a work plan and implementation schedule to meet the organization's goals and requirements. These plans normally include some period of analysis and configuration, data migration, testing, training, and maintenance and support. Develop a "roll back" plan if the new solution fails to meet the organization's needs.
10	Maintain a release schedule for updates, patches, and other improvements for the system. It is important to revisit and re-prioritize requirements throughout the useful life of the system.

While not a comprehensive and exhaustive list, these steps should form the basis of an organization's LMS/LCMS acquisition process. As consortium members begin to pursue these new initiatives, we can provide additional detail and support regarding their particular needs as required.